



Tax Flash News



13 January 2025

KPMG. Make the Difference.

Capital reduction results in transfer of a capital asset

Executive summary



There has been a tax dispute regarding whether capital reduction could result in a ‘transfer’ of a capital asset (shares or right in shares), and whether it could result in capital gain/loss in the hands of the shareholders under the Income Tax Act, 1961 (the Act).

The Supreme Court in the case of *Jupiter Capital*¹ held that the reduction in share capital of the company would be covered within the ambit of the expression ‘sale, exchange or relinquishment of the asset’ used in the definition of ‘transfer’ under the Act.

The decision was rendered in the context of the capital reduction through share cancellation and where the shareholders received consideration for the reduction.

¹ *PCIT v. Jupiter Capital Pvt Ltd* (SLP No. 63 of 2025). Source: Taxsutra

Facts of the case



The taxpayer held shares in another company, ANNPL.

Due to accumulated losses, ANNPL's net worth eroded, prompting it to file a petition for a reduction in share capital with the Bombay High Court.

The Court approved the reduction, resulting in decrease in the number of shares issued by ANNPL, though the face value per share remained unchanged at INR10.

The shareholders were paid some consideration for the capital reduction.

The number of shares held by the taxpayer was also proportionately reduced.

The taxpayer claimed a long-term capital loss arising from this reduction.

The tax officer disallowed the loss arguing that no transfer of capital assets occurred under Act pursuant to the capital reduction.

The Act² defines the term 'transfer' to include (a) the sale, exchange or relinquishment of the asset; (b) the extinguishment of any right therein.

Revenue's Contentions



The reduction in share capital did not constitute a 'transfer' under the Act.

It was only reduction of shares by way of extinguishing the number of shares and not extinguishing the rights of the shareholder which involved selling the shares to another entity.

The face value per share and the percentage of shareholding remained the same post-reduction of number of shares.

Decision



The reduction in share capital of the company and subsequent proportionate reduction in the shareholding of the taxpayer would be squarely covered within the ambit of the expression 'sale, exchange or relinquishment of the asset' used in the definition of 'transfer' under the Act.

² Section 2(47) of the Act

The Supreme Court referred to its earlier decisions on this issue.

In the case of *Kartikeya V. Sarabhai*³, the Supreme Court had held that while the taxpayer continued to remain a shareholder of the company even after the reduction of share capital, it could not be accepted that there was no extinguishment of any part of his right as a shareholder *qua* the company.

The Supreme Court in the case of *Anarkali Sarabhai*⁴ had held that both reduction of share capital and redemption of shares involved the purchase of its own shares by the company and hence would be included within the meaning of transfer under the Act.

Our comments



This is a positive decision for the taxpayer claiming capital loss arising from the capital reduction. In the past, there have been contradictory decisions on this issue.

The Mumbai Special Bench of the Tribunal in *Bennett Coleman & Co.*⁵ held that the replacement or substitution of earlier shares by the new shares did not amount to transfer. The majority judgment held

that in case of capital reduction where no consideration was received, the loss arising on account of such reduction cannot be subject to the computational provisions. The said loss was not allowable as a capital loss and at best can be described as notional loss.

In the case of *Tata Sons Limited*⁶, the Mumbai Bench of the Tribunal held that a reduction of capital was the extinguishment of the right in the shares, and it amounted to a transfer under the Act. The loss on reduction of share capital was an allowable capital loss and not a notional loss.

It may be noted that the captioned Supreme Court decision has been rendered in the context of the capital reduction by way of cancellation of shares and the shareholders received some consideration for the capital reduction.

It would be interesting to see whether the same conclusion will apply in case where the capital reduction is by way of reduction in the face value of shares (without the cancellation of shares) or where the shareholders do not receive any consideration.

³ *Kartikeya V. Sarabhai v. CIT* [1997] 228 ITR 163 (SC)

⁴ *Anarkali Sarabhai v. CIT* [1997] 224 ITR 422 (SC)

⁵ *Bennett Coleman & Co. Ltd v. CIT* [2011] 12 ITR (T) ITR 97 (Mum) (SB)

⁶ *Tata Sons Limited v. CIT* (ITA No. 3468/Mum/2016)

KPMG in India addresses:

Ahmedabad

Commerce House V, 9th Floor,
902, Near Vodafone House, Corporate Road, Prahlad Nagar, Ahmedabad – 380 051.
Tel: +91 79 4040 2200

Hyderabad

Salarpuria Knowledge City, 6th Floor, Unit 3, Phase III, Sy No. 83/1, Plot No 2, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad – 500 081.
Tel: +91 40 6111 6000

Noida

Unit No. 501, 5th Floor, Advant Navis Business Park, Tower-A, Plot# 7, Sector 142, Expressway Noida, Gautam Budh Nagar, Noida – 201 305.
Tel: +91 0120 386 8000

Bengaluru

Embassy Golf Links Business Park, Pebble Beach, 'B' Block, 1st & 2nd Floor, Off Intermediate Ring Road, Bengaluru – 560071 Tel: +91 80 6833 5000

Jaipur

Regus Radiant Centre Pvt Ltd., Level 6, Jaipur Centre Mall, B2 By pass Tonk Road, Jaipur – 302 018.
Tel: +91 141 - 7103224

Pune

9th floor, Business Plaza, Westin Hotel Campus, 36/3-B, Koregaon Park Annex, Mundhwa Road, Ghorpadi, Pune – 411 001.
Tel: +91 20 6747 7000

Chandigarh

SCO 22-23 (1st Floor), Sector 8C, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh – 160 009.
Tel: +91 172 664 4000

Kochi

Syama Business Centre, 3rd Floor, NH By Pass Road, Vytilla, Kochi – 682 019.
Tel: +91 484 302 5600

Chennai

KRM Towers, Ground Floor, 1, 2 & 3 Floor, Harrington Road, Chetpet, Chennai – 600 031.
Tel: +91 44 3914 5000

Kolkata

Unit No. 604, 6th Floor, Tower – 1, Godrej Waterside, Sector – V, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.
Tel: +91 33 4403 4000

Mumbai

2nd Floor, Block T2 (B Wing), Lodha Excellus, Apollo Mills Compound, N M Joshi Marg, Mahalaxmi, Mumbai- 400011 Tel: +91 22 3989 6000

Vadodara

Ocean Building, 303, 3rd Floor, Beside Center Square Mall, Opp. Vadodara Central Mall, Dr. Vikram Sarabhai Marg, Vadodara – 390 023.
Tel: +91 265 619 4200

Vijayawada

Door No. 54-15-18E, Sai Odyssey, Gurunanak Nagar Road, NH 5, Opp. Executive Club, Vijayawada, Krishna District, Andhra Pradesh – 520 008.
Tel: +91 0866 669 1000

Gurugram

Building No.10, 8th Floor, DLF Cyber City, Phase II, Gurugram, Haryana – 122 002.
Tel: +91 124 307 4000

[kpmg.com/in](https://www.kpmg.com/in)

Follow us on:

[kpmg.com/in/socialmedia](https://www.kpmg.com/in/socialmedia)



The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, Lodha Excelus, Apollo Mills Compound, NM Joshi Marg, Mahalaxmi, Mumbai - 400 011 Phone: +91 22 3989 6000, Fax: +91 22 3983 6000

© 2025 KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, an Indian Limited Liability Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

This document is meant for e-communication only.